Definitions of the terms used in the Building Evaluation Report Overview to des	scribe average or overall conditions include the following:
Condition Ratings:	Maintenance Deficiency Ratings:
Excellent – In "like new" condition and/or high quality materials used.	Critical - Emergency/Immediate conditions that pose ain immediate safety
Good – no remedial work is recommended.	risk and/or have reached an advanced state of deterioration that will result
Fair – system is aging and/or minor remedial work is recommended.	in failure of a feature if not corrected in 1 year.
Poor – replacement or major remedial work is recommended.	Serious - Immediate/Short Term conditions that pose a safety risk and/or
	have reached a state of deterioration that will result in failure of a feature
Where it seems more appropriate, a combination of qualitative assessments,	or adjacent features if not corrected in 1 to 5 years.
such as "fair to good," may be used in evaluating various construction	Minor - Short/Long Term conditions indicating standard maintenance or
components.	preservation practices have not been followed and/or deterioration will
	result in failure of feature or adjacent features if not corrected in 5 to 10
	years.
	None - Long Term life expectancy of feature beyond 10 years if standard
	maintained practices are followed.

Inspection	1	-	Inspection date/time: 9-09-15\ 9am Inspector: Alan Crofford\Ron Pearson Affiliation: Staff									
Property Descr	iption		Area Inspected		Tririga	report pulled? Yes No	X Date:					
Structure Name	e: Elmore Farm House	Exterio	or Only		Notes	from report:						
Park: Frying Pan			Exterior and Interior X									
Address:		Туре о	of Construction									
		Х	X Wood Frame			Primary Occupancy						
Number of	above ground: 2		Steel Frame		Х	Dwelling		Government				
stories	below ground: 1		Concrete			Other Residential		Museum				
Approx. area (s	quare feet): 3188		Brick			Public Assembly		School				
Number of residential units 1			Stone			Emergency Services		Religious				
Comments:			Manufactured			Commercial		Cemetery				
			Other			Offices		Other				
		Descri	be:			Industrial	Describ	<u>-</u> e:				

		Historic Significance		1 I		
	YES	NO UN	IKNOWN	COMMENTS		
Does this property appear historic? (older than 50 yea	ırs)		Х			
s there a historic designation sign or plaque?				Х		
Do exterior features display a high level of craftsmans			Х			
o interior features display a high level of craftsmansh			Х			
Does the property retain historic integrity of design, m		· ·	Х			
Does the property retain historic integrity of location,	setting, a	and feeling?	Х			
s the building located in a neighborhood or district of	similar b	uilding style?				
Does the setting (yard, fencing, garden walls, etc.) con	tribute to	o the historic character of				
he structure?			X			
			chitectu	, ' '	all that apply)	
Historic Designation (check all that apply)		Arc	chitectu	ı ral Style (check	all that apply)	
Fairfax County Inventory of Historic Sites		Colonial		Beaux-Arts		C 111 1
·	_					Split Level
Virginia Landmarks Register		Georgian		Art Deco		Raised Ranch
						Raised Ranch Split Foyer
Virginia Landmarks Register		Georgian		Art Deco	ral	Raised Ranch
Virginia Landmarks Register National Register of Historic Places		Georgian Federal		Art Deco Prairie		Raised Ranch Split Foyer
Virginia Landmarks Register National Register of Historic Places Determined Eligible		Georgian Federal Greek Revival		Art Deco Prairie Colonial Reviv		Raised Ranch Split Foyer Brutalism
Virginia Landmarks Register National Register of Historic Places Determined Eligible Determined Ineligible		Georgian Federal Greek Revival Italianate		Art Deco Prairie Colonial Reviv Cape Cod Cott	tage	Raised Ranch Split Foyer Brutalism Neo-Expressionism
Virginia Landmarks Register National Register of Historic Places Determined Eligible Determined Ineligible Individual		Georgian Federal Greek Revival Italianate Romanesque		Art Deco Prairie Colonial Reviv Cape Cod Cott Modern	Style	Raised Ranch Split Foyer Brutalism Neo-Expressionism Mission 66
Virginia Landmarks Register National Register of Historic Places Determined Eligible Determined Ineligible Individual District, contributing		Georgian Federal Greek Revival Italianate Romanesque Gothic Revival		Art Deco Prairie Colonial Reviv Cape Cod Cott Modern International S	Style tional	Raised Ranch Split Foyer Brutalism Neo-Expressionism Mission 66 New Formalism
Virginia Landmarks Register National Register of Historic Places Determined Eligible Determined Ineligible Individual District, contributing District, non-contributing	x	Georgian Federal Greek Revival Italianate Romanesque Gothic Revival Eastlake		Art Deco Prairie Colonial Reviv Cape Cod Cott Modern International S Minimal Tradi	Style tional	Raised Ranch Split Foyer Brutalism Neo-Expressionism Mission 66 New Formalism Postmodernism
Virginia Landmarks Register National Register of Historic Places Determined Eligible Determined Ineligible Individual District, contributing District, non-contributing National Historic Landmark	X	Georgian Federal Greek Revival Italianate Romanesque Gothic Revival Eastlake Second Empire		Art Deco Prairie Colonial Reviv Cape Cod Cott Modern International S Minimal Tradi Corporate Cor	Style tional	Raised Ranch Split Foyer Brutalism Neo-Expressionism Mission 66 New Formalism Postmodernism Neo-Eclecticism
Virginia Landmarks Register National Register of Historic Places Determined Eligible Determined Ineligible Individual District, contributing District, non-contributing National Historic Landmark Individual	х	Georgian Federal Greek Revival Italianate Romanesque Gothic Revival Eastlake Second Empire Queen Anne		Art Deco Prairie Colonial Reviv Cape Cod Cott Modern International S Minimal Tradi Corporate Cor	Style tional mmercial	Raised Ranch Split Foyer Brutalism Neo-Expressionism Mission 66 New Formalism Postmodernism Neo-Eclecticism Transitional

	Con	dition Ev	aluatio	n								
SITE		Conditio	n Rating	s		Maintenance Deficiency Ratings						
3116	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A		Critical	Serious	Minor	None	N/A	
Vegetation		Х							Х			
Branches touching structure, overhanging limbs, roots undermining foundation, etc	Comments: Mo	nitor the	oak tree	branche	es\limbs	overhang	ing the	house.				
Ground Slope and Drainage		Х							Х			
Does the ground slope allow for proper drainage? Is	Comments: Sta	ff indicate	ed they	were inst	talling a	width of g	ravel a	round the	perime	eter of t	he house	
there evidence of pooling in or around the structure?	Comments: Staff indicated they were installing a width of gravel around the perimeter of the house to reduce the mud splash on the house											
Other Elements		Х							Х			
Lighting, utilities, etc.	Comments: work order was submitted to replace the rear flood light.											
Other Site Conditions Bodies of water, prevailing winds, etc	Comments:											
Comments:												
FOUNDATION		Conditio	n Rating	s				intenanc				
Type Describe materials/types of foundations	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A		Critical	Serious		None	N/A	
		Х							X			
	Condition Overall foundaris not uncommo	tion in go	od cond	ition for	an older		. Some	moisture	in the l	-	nt which	

STONE/BRICK FEATURES (other than foundation)	Condition Ratings						Ma	ency Ra	Ratings		
Type Describe stone or brick features, including walls and	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A		Critical	Serious	Minor	None	N/A
chimneys. Include type of stone, if known, character and size		Х							X		
of stone (ashlar vs. rubble) and of mortar joints.	Condition Des moss growth, evic No issues noted		ost heave	e, etc.	s cracks, lo	oose or m	issing mo	rtar, spalli	ing, effloi	escence,	heavy
EXTERIOR WOOD ELEMENTS											
Structural Elements		Conditio	n Rating	s			Ma	intenanc	e Defici	ency Ra	tings
Type Describe any wood structural elements such as wall	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A		Critical	Serious	Minor	None	N/A
and roof structures: plank, stud, timber, log, posts, beams, rafters, purlins. Are they log? Hewn, milled or rough sawn		Х							Х		
Wood Siding	Overall the exte	rior is in			tion due	to recen			e Defici	ency Ra	tings
Type Describe siding such as vertical board and batten,	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A		Maintenance Deficiency Rat Critical Serious Minor None				
horizontal lap, log, etc. Include width and/or height.	Executivity	X	1 011	1 001	N/A		Critical	3011003	Х	None	N/A
	Condition Descondition of coati Overall exterior years.	0 /									
WINDOWS AND DOORS		Conditio	n Rating	s			Ma	intenanc	e Defici	ency Ra	tings
Type Describe style and materials of doors and windows.	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A		Critical	Serious	Minor	None	N/A
Doors: Vertical plank? Hardware? Windows: Casement?		Х							X		
Double Hung? Number of panes?	Condition Des rot, sagging etc. Windows and de	oors in ve									ence of

ROOFING			Ma	ency Ra	Ratings						
Type Describe roofing, including material, exposure,	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A		Critical	Serious	Minor	None	N/A
installation pattern, flashing, special features.	Х								Х		
	Condition Des penetration, weat Metal roof in ex not removed af	cellent c	c. ondition	recently	y replace	d. Notice	ed on ins	pection t			
METAL AND OTHER EXTERIOR COMPONENTS		Conditio	n Rating	ς			Ma	intenanc	e Defici	ency Ra	tings
Type Describe any other exterior components, hardware,	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A			Serious			N/A
special features, etc.	Exterior	X			14/74		Circical	5011043	X	110110	1.77
INTERIOR	Gutters were re	placed d	uring ext	terior wo	ork.						
Walls		Conditio	n Rating	s			Ma	intenanc	e Defici	ency Ra	tings
Type Describe materials and coatings.	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A			Serious			N/A
		Х							Х		
Ceiling		Conditio	n Rating	s			Ma	intenanc	e Defici	ency Ra	tings
Type Describe materials and coatings.	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A			Serious			N/A
		Х							Х		
	Condition Describe any deterioration including cracking, mold, efflorescence, finish failure etc. Some minor paint peeling on the ceiling in the addition at the rear entrance. Most likely for previous roof leak.									om a	

Floors					Maintenance Deficiency Ratings								
Type Des	scribe materials, form, and coatings.	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A		Critical	Serious	Minor	None	N/A	
1 H	lardwood floors	1	Х							Х			
		2											
2		3											
3		Condition Desinterior renovate	d			ding crackir	ng, rot, se						
Trim			Conditio	n Rating	s			Ma	intenanc	e Defici	ency Ra	tings	
Type Des	scribe materials, style, and coatings.	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A		Critical	Serious	Minor	None	N/A	
			Х							Х			
		Condition Des				nd deterior ed	ration incl	iuaing ro	τ, insect d	amage, f	ınısn tailu	ire etc.	
Other Inte	erior Features		Conditio	n Rating	s			Maintenance Deficiency Ratings					
Type Des	scribe materials, form, and coatings.	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A			Serious			N/A	
1	-	1	Х							Х			
		2											
2		3											
3		Condition Des	scribe any	deteriora [.]	tion. Orig	inal stair ra	ail						
Photo Log	g:	11				2	22						
	n POD Assessment Folder	12				2	23						
2		13				2	24						
3		14				2	25						
4		15					26						
5		16				2	27						
6		17					28						
7		18					29						
		10				12							
8		19											
8						3	30						











